PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 1 9 MAY 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTA BLATY
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
700953-53661	International filing date (day)	(month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
International application No.			12 November 2003 (12.11.2003)	
PCT/US04/37810 International Patent Classification (IPC)	12 November 2004 (12.11.2	004) PC	12 November 2005 (12:11:2005)	
			5/00/ 2006 01)	
IPC: A61K 48/00(2006.01);C12N USPC: 514/44;435/320.1,325,455	15/00(2006.01),15/63(2006.0	JI),13/74(2000:01),	5/00(2000.51)	
Applicant				
THERION BIOLOGICS CORPORATION	ON			
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of	f a total of 峰 sheets, includ	ding this cover she	et.	
3. This report is also accom	panied by ANNEXES, com	prising:	j	
a. (sent to the applic	ant and to the International	Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:	
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
amendment indicated in	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.			
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	emational Rureau anhy) a to	tal of (indicate type	e and number of electronic carrier(s))	
indicated in th	containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).			
	cations relating to the follow	wing items:		
K-7	Basis of the report	· ·		
	-			
	Priority		to investigation and industrial	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step at applicability			loveity, inventive step and moustrial	
	Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			th regard to novelty, inventive step or one supporting such statement	
Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the interr	national application	1	
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	on of this report	
07 April 2005 (07.04.2005)		24 April 2006 (24.	04.2006)	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		Anne Marie S. We	the F. Roberts for	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145	50			
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201			/1) 2/2-1000	
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)				

	THE WAY AND A PERSON AS A PARK TO		PATENTABILITY
MITEUNATIONAL.	PUBLIMINARY	REPUBLISH	PAINNIARILIT

International application No.	,
PCT/US04/37810	

Box	k No.	I Basis of the report
1.	With	regard to the language, this report is based on:
		the international application in the language in which it was filed.
	\Box	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the
	ш	purposes of:
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
2.	With	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been hed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed"
		re not annexed to this report):
	X	the international application as originally filed/furnished
	Ħ	the description:
	E3	pages 1-81 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	X	the claims:
		pages 82 and 83 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
		pages 1-15 as originally filed/furnished
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		pages* NONE received by this Authority on
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
	_	a solution noting and/or any remote mose(s) one supplication and remaining to reference
3.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages None
		the claims, Nos_None
		the drawings, sheets/figs None
		the description, pages None the claims, Nos_None the drawings, sheets/figs None the sequence listing (specify): None any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): None
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):_None
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made,
		since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages the claims, Nos
		the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):
* 1	fitar	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
	,	- approve, come of an of anothe broken truly of married superiorded.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

INTERNATIONAL	PRELIMINARY	REPORT ON	PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/37810

Box No.	ш	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The ques	tions v	whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the en	tire international application
\boxtimes	claims	s Nos. 2 in part, 3, 6-22
	becau	se:
	the sa not re	id international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does equire an international preliminary examination (specify):
\boxtimes		escription, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. $\frac{2 \text{ in part, 3, 6-22}}{2 \text{ are clear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):}$
Claim 2 i depends o	is a mul on clain	tiple dependent claims that depends in the alternative on itself. Claim 2 has only been considered to the extent that it n 1. Claims 3, and 6-22 are improper multiple dependent claims under PCT Rule 6.4(a).
		laims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful on could be formed (specify):
	no in	ternational search report has been established for said claims Nos.
		eaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the cribed time limit:
		furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.
	did :	eaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical tirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not lable to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the com	tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not uply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See	Supplemental Box for further details

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US04/37810

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) wit applicability; citations and explanations sup	h regard to r porting such	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement	
1. Statement	t .			
N.	ovelty (N) Claims	23-24		_YES
		1-2, 4-5		_NO
In	ventive Step (IS) Claims	24		_YES
	Claims	1-2, 4-5, 23		_ NO
Ir	ndustrial Applicability (IA) Claims	1-2, 4-5, 23-2	24	_YES

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-2, 4, and 5 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by AARTS W. M. et al. Canc. Res. October 15 2002, Vol. 62, 5770-5777. Aarts et al. teaches an avipox vector which encodes CEA and three co-stimulatory molecules, B7-1, ICAM-1 and LFA-3 (Aarts et al., page 5770, abstract and page 5771). Aarts et al. further teaches the generation of anti-CEA immune responses and antitumor activity following administration of the vector (Aarts et al., page 5775-5776). Thus, by teaching all the limitations of the claims as written, Aarts anticipates the instant claims.

Claims NONE____

Claims 1-2 and 4 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by SCHOLL et al. J. Biomed. Biotech. August 2003, Vol. 3, 194-201. Scholl et al. teaches the generation of antitumor immune responses following the administration of a single vaccinia virus encoding MUC-1 and IL-2 to breast cancer patients (Scholl et al., page 195, and 200). Thus, by teaching all the limitations of the claims as written, Scholl et al. anticipates the instant claims.

Claim 23 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over SCHLOM et al. Breast Canc. Res. Treat. 1996, Vol. 38, 27-39 in view of ZAJAC et al. Human Gene Ther. November 1 2003, Vol. 14, 1497-1510. Schlom et al. teaches two different vaccinia viruses encoding the breast cancer antigens MUC-1 and CEA, and the individual use of the vectors to generate anti-tumor responses (Schlom et al., pages 28-29). Zajac et al. supplements Schlom by teaching a single vaccinia vector encoding 3 different tumor antigens (Zajac et al., page 1501, Figure 2). Zajac et al. provides motivation for expressing more than one tumor antigen in the same vector in order to circumvent antigen expression heterogeneity in tumor and immune escape (Zajac et al., page 1498, column 1). Therefore, based on the motivation to express more than one tumor antigen in the same vector, it would have been obvious to modify the vectors taught by Schlom et al. to encode both CEA and MUC-1.

Claim 24 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a single poxvirus vector encoding CEA and a wobbled MUC-1.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 23-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) for industrial applicability as the kits and methods can be used in breast cancer therapy.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)